

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/515,724	02/29/2000	Geoffrey H. Gill	03188-P0001A	1108		
24126 7	7590 11/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			CHARLES,	CHARLES, DEBRA F		
<del>-</del>	STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			3691			
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	5 .		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/515	5,724	GILL ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Debra	F. Charles	3691				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet \	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)  🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 August 20	006.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊡	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers				•			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı)□ accepted or	b)☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				= =			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			n received in this National	l Stage			
* 0	application from the Internationa			A accetived				
	See the attached detailed Office action t	or a list of the ce	eraniea copies no	it received.				
_								
Attachmen	` <i>'</i>		<b>4.□</b>					
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-,	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gould et al.(US 2001/0001856A1) and Schenkler(US 6078902A).

Re claims 1 and 9: Gould et al. disclose a system for anonymously purchasing goods and services over the Internet comprising:

a communications system(para 0018, Fig. 1);

a customer computer linked to said communications system(para 0018, Fig. 1);

an issuer computer linked to said communications system(para 0018, Fig.

1);

Art Unit: 3691

a merchant computer linked to said communications system(para 0018,0026, Fig. 1);

a financial institution computer linked to said communications system(para 0028);

a money code, said money code containing no identification data related to a customer and being untraceable to said customer(para 0026, fig. 1, 2); software executing on said issuer computer for receiving said money code and a money amount from a customer, assigning an associated money value to said money code based on said money amount received from the customer, and transmitting said money code and associated money value to said financial institution computer over said communications system(para 0026-0031, fig. 1-2);

software executing on said financial institution computer for receiving said money code and associated money value transmitted by said issuer computer and storing said money code and associated money value(para 0026-0031, fig. 1-2);

software executing on said customer computer for transmitting an

Art Unit: 3691

order and said money code to said merchant computer over said communications system(para 0026-0031, fig. 1-2);

software executing on said merchant computer for receiving said order and money code from said customer computer, determining a money amount due for said order, and transferring said money code and money amount due to said financial institution computer over said communications system(para 0026-0033, fig. 1-2), and,

software executing on said financial institution computer for receiving said money code and money amount due from said merchant computer, comparing said money amount due to said associated money value, and notifying said merchant computer of fund availability(para 0026-0037, fig. 1-2).

Gould et al. fail to teach transmit money code from Merchant to customer over network. However, Schenkler does teach money code in place of electronic wallet and an electronic wallet is used to transmit money over a network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Gould et al. to include the step of Schenkler. The motivation to combine these references is to facilitate funds transfer over a network.

1. Claims 2,3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,15 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gould et al. and Schenkler as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Linehan(6327578B1) and Wong et al.(5913203A).

Re claims 2, 10 and 17: Gould et al. does not disclose customer computer for generating said money code, and inputting said money code and associated money value into said issuer computer; transmitting said money code and associated money value from said issuer computer to a financial institution computer over a communications system; transmitting an order and said money code from a customer computer to said merchant computer over said communication system; verifying fund availability by comparing said money amount due to said associated money value on said financial institution computer. Linehan disclose customer computer for generating said money code (col. 4, lines 15-25, i.e. "consumer's computer then sends over the internet network some consumer identity and authentication information" and col. 5, lines 50-67, i.e. "step of sending from a consumer's computer a start message"),

Art Unit: 3691

assigning an associated money value to a money code corresponding to a money amount surrendered to an issuer by a customer(Table 1 in col. 11, col. 13, lines 60-67 and col. 14, lines 1-15, claim 1, i.e. "issuing bank creating a reference number or value representing the consumer's credit or debit card number"),

inputting said money code and associated money value into said issuer computer(col. 4, lines 10-20, "initiation message includes payment amount");

transmitting said money code and associated money value from said issuer computer to a financial institution computer over a communications system(col. 6, lines 50-65, i.e. "sending a settlement message"),

transmitting an order and said money code from a customer computer to said merchant computer over said communication system(col. 4, lines 10-

Art Unit: 3691

20, i.e. "sending from a customer's computer a start message over an internet network to a merchant's computer"),

transmitting said money code and a money amount due from said merchant computer to said financial institution computer over said communications system(col. 6, lines 50-65, i.e. "sending a settlement message"),

verifying fund availability by comparing said money amount due to said associated money value on said financial institution computer(col. 6, lines 10-16, i.e. "verifies that the consumer's account is active and has sufficient funds").

Gould et al. and Linehan does not explicitly disclose(s) that storing said money code on a storage device or said money code containing no identification data related to a customer and being untraceable to said customer. However, in col. 6, lines 45-60, col. 7, lines 55-63 thereof, Wong et al. disclose(s) the user selects the level of anonymity and traceability desired and that once the pseudo cash unit is generated, a record

generation means generates an active record associated with the pseudo cash unit and the fixed monetary value and stores the active record in records storage medium, which is preferably some type of electronic data storage device. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Gould et al. and Linehan based on the teachings of Wong et al. The motivation to combine these references is to enable the selection of anonymous, untraceable transaction to conduct transactions anonymously ensuring the consumer's identity remains confidential, and to use a storage device to store codes to ensure codes are available when needed.

Re claims 3 and 11: Linehan disclose generating a personal identification code to be associated with said money code for controlling access and use of said money code(col. 2, lines 45-50, i.e. "password", col. 4, lines 15-25, i.e. "consumer identity and authentication information" and col. 7, lines 55-67, "the user's identification and authentication information and the merchant's initiation message" and claim 1, i.e. "consumer identity and authentication information").

Art Unit: 3691

Re claims 7 and 15: Linehan disclose communications system comprises the Internet(col. 5, lines 55-60).

Re claims 8 and 16: Linehan disclose merchant computer for operating and maintaining an Internet website, accessible by the customer, for facilitating commercial transactions between the customer and a merchant(col. 2, lines 40-45, i.e. "Web server").

Re claims 4 and 12: Linehan does not explicitly disclose(s) that encrypting said money code. However, in col. 2, lines 35-67 thereof, Wong et al. disclose(s) that the "Digicash" or "ecash" system turns a user's or buyer's hard drive on a PC into a purse. To use this system, one first establishes an account with a bank. To obtain digicash or ecash, the user creates a series of numbers that will represent a mixture of coins or money bills in various denominations according to the user's own wishes. This request for digicash is then sent to the bank, which deducts the total amount requested from the user's existing valid account. The bank then

sends the user an equivalent amount of ecash as an encrypted email message containing a series of numbers. Each number corresponds to a specified amount of money. Thus, it would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt a code to ensure anonymity in the transaction.

Re claims 5 and 13: Linehan disclose customer computer for requesting said personal identification code from the customer, retrieving said money code from said storage device(col. 4, lines 10-25, i.e. "consumer's computer starts message from digital wallet" and lines 30-35, col. 7, lines 55-67, i.e. "the merchant sends to the customer computer, the wallet initiation message" and "then in step 306, the consumer's wallet is started"), and said money code based on said personal identification code prior to transmitting said money code to said merchant computer(col. 5, lines 55-60, i.e. "sending from a consumer's computer a start message over an internet network to a merchant's computer").

Linehan does not explicitly disclose decrypting an item after it reaches its destination. However, this is well-known in the computer art. Thus, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ decryption to unencrypt a code or message when it reaches the merchant to get the benefit of encryption in transit to preserve the anonymity of data in transit.

Re claims 6 and 14: Linehan disclose merchant computer for requesting said personal identification code from the customer upon receipt of said order and money code(col. 5, lines 55-67, i.e. "the merchant's computer replies to consumer's computer", and col. 6, lines 45-67, i.e. "once the merchant has received the authorization token from the issuer"); customer computer for requesting said personal identification code from the customer and transmitting said personal identification code to said merchant computer(claim 1,i.e."sending from the consumer's computer consumer identity and authentication information");

said merchant computer for receiving said personal identification code from the customer and transmitting said personal identification code in addition to the money code and money amount due to said financial institution computer over said communications system(col. 4, lines 10-25, i.e. "merchant sends a message, including reference value to acquirer gateway"

operating on behalf of an acquirer bank" and col. 6, lines 45-67, i.e. "once the merchant has received the authorization token from the issuer gateway, the merchant completes the sales transaction"); and,

said financial institution computer for receiving said money code, money amount due, and personal identification code and decrypting said money code using said personal identification code prior to determining fund availability(col. 6, lines 45-67, i.e. "once the merchant has received the authorization token from the issuer gateway, the merchant completes the sales transaction", and col.6, lines 5-20, i.e. "verifies customer account is active and has sufficient funds and/or credit to support the payment amount.").

Linehan does not explicitly disclose that software executing on various computers. However, Linehan does indicate browser in col. 1, lines 43-46 and since computers can not operate without software, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ software applications on a computer to get the benefit of the four-party communications payment system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272 6791. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3691

Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272 6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Debra F. Charles Examiner Art Unit 3691

\*\*\*

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER